

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,686	07/18/2003	Klaus Muller	TRW(AEC)6662	3628
7590 04/07/2004			EXAMINER	
TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL,			FISHMAN, MARINA	
TUMMINO & SZABO L.L.P. 1111 LEADER BLDG.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
526 SUPERIOR AVENUE			2832	
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-1400			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/622,686	MULLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marina Fishman	2832				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 July 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	-					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) The Dath of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of John 1 10-102.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Gee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/18/2003</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

General Status

1. This is a First Action on the Merits. Claims 1 - 8 are pending in the case and are being examined.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "a rotation angle" and "an axis" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,686 Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

(d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Objections

5. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 4 "axially facing away *axially* from" should be corrected as -- axially facing away from--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in

Art Unit: 2832

the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In lines 2 and 3 Applicant states "the axially rising cam surface extends over a rotation angle which corresponds to a rotation of the actuating member between two adjacent switch positions" this limitation is not supported by the disclosure.

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1- 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 5, Applicant should clarify what is meant by "peripheral direction".

Claim 1, line 5, Applicant should clarify structure of "cam follower that runs up and bears axially on the cam surface on rotation of the actuating member".

Claim 3, line 2, it is not clear if Applicant is introducing a new element "a cylindrical peripheral wall" or he is further limiting "a peripheral wall", element that has been introduced in Claim 1. Examiner recommends to rewrite Claim 3 as: "The rotary light switch according to Claim 1, wherein the cam surface is formed on the peripheral wall coaxial with the actuating member and wherein the peripheral wall is cylindrical."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2832

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 1 - 8, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Muller-Sybrichs et al. [DE 4215694].

Muller-Sybrichs et al. disclose an electrical switching device [Figures 1 – 4] having:

- a housing [96,12,24] with a peripheral wall formed with a cam surface [48/1; 48/2], that runs in peripheral direction and rises axially facing away from a actuating member [14];
- the actuating member [14] mounted rotatably about an axis in the housing;
- a radially projecting cam follower [92] that runs up and bears axially on the cam surface on rotation of the actuating member forcing the actuating member to move axially [Figure 4].

Regarding Claim 2, Muller-Sybrichs et al. disclose the cam follower bears on the cam surface without a radial component of movement [Figures 1 - 4].

Regarding Claim 3, Muller-Sybrichs et al. disclose the cam surface that formed on the peripheral wall coaxial with the actuating member and wherein the peripheral wall is cylindrical.

Regarding Claim 5, Muller-Sybrichs et al. disclose the housing with a ring-shaped switch shield [96, 100] surrounding the actuating member [14].

Application/Control Number: 10/622,686 Page 6

Art Unit: 2832

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muller-Sybrichs et al. [DE 4215694] in view of Jaroslav Keprda [GB 1400116 A].

Regarding Claim 7, Muller-Sybrichs et al. discloses the instant claimed invention except for a non-rising section of the cam surface. Jaroslav Keprda a cam surface [12] with a non-rising section and a rising section [Figure 2]. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the non-rising section adjoining the rising section in Muller-Sybrichs et al., as suggested by Jaroslav Keprda, in order to provide sufficient accuracy at the induvidial switch position [Page 1, lines 10 – 15].

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schmidt et al. [US 5,546,067], Hoskins et al. [US 5,939,683], Hackbarth et al. [US 5,594,220], Alvord et al. [US 6,079,401], Geremia [US 4,518,832], Ipcinski [US 4,945,195] all disclose rotary switches. Applicant also should consider these references in response to this office action. Should issue arise concerning the rejection presented above, these references may be relied upon in a subsequent action

Art Unit: 2832

to support the lack of novelty or obviousness of claimed subject matter to one of ordinary skill in the art.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marina Fishman whose telephone number is 571-272-1991. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-5 M-T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marina Fishman March 25, 2004